

CONSULTANT SELECTION COMBINED CONSENSUS SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & Whatcom Community Colleges

Project Number

2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Chair

Kevin Barber

This ocorcand	Ct DCCOIIIC3 I	ublic Necco	u				
Phase 1 - SOQ	Date:	6/14/2021			Number of Subn	nitting Firms:	9
		_	Panelist Names			TOTAL	
Firms	Kevin Barber	Brian Keeley	David Jungkuntz			PANEL RANKED SCORE	PHASE 1 RANK ORDER
1 RMC Architects	Rank Order	Rank Order 3	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	8 8	3
2 Zervas Architects 3 Walker Consultants	8	8	8			6 24	2 8
4 Studio Meng Strazzara	5	4	5			14	5
5 Wedderman Architecture			7			25	9
6 Osborn Architects	9	9	-			4	1
		2	1				
7 Ankrom Moisan	4	5	4			13	4
8 Studio 19 Architects	7	7	9			23	7
9 PAE Engineers	6	6	6			18	6
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
Phase 2 Interview	Date:	6/22/2021		١	Number of Firms	Interviewed:	5
		RANK ORDE	R OF COMMITTE	E MEMBERS			
Firms	Kevin Barber	Brian Keeley	David Jungkuntz			TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	FINAL RANK ORDER
	rank order	rank order	rank order	rank order	rank order		
1 Osborn Architects	2	2	1			5	1
2 Zervas Architects	3	1	3			7	3
3 RMC Architects	1	3	2			6	2
4 Ankrom Moisan	5	5	5			15	5
5 Studio Meng Strazzara	4	4	4			12	4

Kempas

Kevin Barber

0-16

David Jungkuntz (Jun 25, 2021 14:55 PDT)

David Jungkunt

Brian Keeley (Ju



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & Whatcom Community Colleges

Consensus Date

Project Number

6/14/2021

2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Member

Kevin Barber

CRITERIA		Qualification of Key Personnel			General Project Approach		Relevant Experience		phical mity	Diverse Business Inclusion Strategy	TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
	Scores	Raw Score	20%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	10%	Received - Y/N	SCORE	SCORE	
1 RMC Architects		85	17	75	23	95	38	100	10	У	355	87.5	3
2 Zervas Architects		90	18	75	23	95	38	100	10	У	360	88.5	2
3 Walker Consultants		65	13	65	20	75	30	50	5	n	255	67.5	8
4 Studio Meng Strazzara		85	17	85	26	85	34	50	5	У	305	81.5	5
5 Wedderman Architecture		65	13	65	20	65	26	0	0	n	195	58.5	9
6 Osborn Architects		95	19	85	26	95	38	100	10	У	375	92.5	1
7 Ankrom Moisan		85	17	85	26	90	36	50	5	У	310	83.5	4
8 Studio 19 Architects		80	16	80	24	75	30	50	5	У	285	75.0	7
9 PAE Engineers		80	16	80	24	80	32	50	5	У	290	77.0	6
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18			_		_								
19													
20													
COMMENTS:						•				•			

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Kempas	Jun 25, 2021
Kevin Barber	Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & Whatcom Community Colleges

Consensus Date

Project Number

6/14/2021

2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Member

Brian Keeley

CRITERIA		Qualification of Key Personnel			General Project Approach		Relevant Experience		phical mity	Diverse Business Inclusion Strategy	TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
	Scores	Raw Score	20%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	10%	Received - Y/N	SCORE	SCORE	
1 RMC Architects		79	16	77	23	81	32	100	10	У	337	81.3	3
2 Zervas Architects		80	16	79	24	82	33	100	10	У	341	82.5	1
3 Walker Consultants		65	13	75	23	70	28	50	5	n	260	68.5	8
4 Studio Meng Strazzara		83	17	80	24	82	33	50	5	У	295	78.4	4
5 Wedderman Architecture		74	15	70	21	75	30	0	0	n	219	65.8	9
6 Osborn Architects		76	15	81	24	81	32	100	10	У	338	81.9	2
7 Ankrom Moisan		79	16	80	24	78	31	50	5	У	287	76.0	5
8 Studio 19 Architects		73	15	80	24	70	28	50	5	У	273	71.6	7
9 PAE Engineers		70	14	75	23	76	30	50	5	У	271	71.9	6
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17							_		_				
18													
19							_		_				
20													
COMMENTS:										•		•	

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

COMMENTS:

Brian Keeley
Brian Keeley (Jun 29, 2021 08:57 EDT)

Jun 29, 2021

Data



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & Whatcom Community Colleges

David Jungkuntz

Consensus Date

Project Number

6/14/2021

2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

CRITERIA		Qualification of Key Personnel			General Project Approach		Relevant Experience		phical mity	Diverse Business Inclusion Strategy	TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
	Scores	Raw Score	20%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	10%	Received - Y/N	SCORE	SCORE	
1 RMC Architects		80	16	81	24	81	32	100	10	Y	342	82.7	2
2 Zervas Architects		78	16	81	24	80	32	100	10	Υ	339	81.9	3
3 Walker Consultants		40	8	51	15	35	14	50	5	N	176	42.3	8
4 Studio Meng Strazzara		80	16	75	23	65	26	50	5	Υ	270	69.5	5
5 Wedderman Architecture		75	15	61	18	61	24	0	0	N	197	57.7	7
6 Osborn Architects		81	16	85	26	85	34	100	10	Y	351	85.7	1
7 Ankrom Moisan		78	16	81	24	75	30	50	5	Υ	284	74.9	4
8 Studio 19 Architects		40	8	45	14	30	12	50	5	Υ	165	38.5	9
9 PAE Engineers		60	12	61	18	60	24	50	5	Υ	231	59.3	6
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16													
17													
18													
19													
20													
COMMENTS		•		•		•		•					

COMMENTS:

0-12 A-4

David Jungkuntz (Jun 25, 2021 14:55 PDT)

David Jungkuntz

Jun 25, 2021

Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & Whatcom Community Colleges

Date of Evaluation 6/22/2021

Project Number

2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Member

Kevin Barber

								Numb	er of Firms	Interviewed:	5
CRITERIA	Weighting	Osborn Architects		Zervas Architects		RMC Architects		Ankrom Moisan		Studio Meng Strazzara	
ONTENA	VVeignting	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	95.0	19.0	85.0	17.0	90.0	18.0	70.0	14.0	90.0	18.0
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on	-call services	along with o	consultant's	capabilities	and organiza	ational struct	ure for prov	iding the des	sired service	s.	
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	100.0	20.0	90.0	18.0	90.0	18.0	85.0	17.0	80.0	16.0
ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, of acertained basic project requirements and how well have they may			•		information	provided an	d the Finalis	t's experienc	ce, how well	has the tear	n
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	95.0	9.5	85.0	8.5	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0	95.0	9.5
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.											
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	50%	85.0	42.5	90.0	45.0	95.0	47.5	90.0	45.0	85.0	42.5
Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Sched	ıling, Challen	ges and Opp	oortunities								
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored	•	Y	Y		Y		Y		Υ	
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	375.0		350.0		365.0		335.0		350.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			91.0		88.5		92.5		85.0		86.0
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		3		1		5		4
COMMENTS:	1		<u> </u>				•		•		

| Jun 25, 2021 | Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description
On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingha

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & Whatcom Community Colleges

Date of Evaluation Project Number 6/22/2021 2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Member

Brian Keeley

Number of Firms Interviewed:

CRITERIA	Weighting	Osborn Architects		Zervas Architects		RMC Architects		Ankrom Moisan		Studio Meng Strazzara	
CRITERIA		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	81.0	16.2	82.0	16.4	82.0	16.4	79.0	15.8	83.0	16.6
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on	-call services	along with	consultant's	capabilities	and organiz	ational struc	ture for prov	riding the de	sired service	es.	
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	85.0	17.0	85.0	17.0	84.0	16.8	75.0	15.0	82.0	16.4
ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, on acertained basic project requirements and how well have they may			•		information	provided an	d the Finalis	st's experien	ce, how well	has the tea	m
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	79.0	7.9	80.0	8.0	81.0	8.1	80.0	8.0	80.0	8.0
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.	k		•					•			
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	50%	81.0	40.5	82.0	41.0	80.0	40.0	81.0	40.5	80.0	40.0
Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Sched	ıling, Challen	ges and Op	portunities			•		•		•	
Diverse Diviness Indivision Disc	Net	<u> </u>		ı		1				1	
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored	,	Υ	`	Y		<u> </u>	•	Y		Y
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	326.0		329.0		327.0		315.0		325.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			81.6		82.4		81.3		79.3		81.0
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		1		3		5		4

COMMENTS:

Brian Keeley
Brian Keeley (Jun 29, 2021 08:57 EDT)

Jun 29, 2021

Committee Member's Signature

Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) - Bellingham Technical & **Whatcom Community Colleges**

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

5

6/22/2021

2021-828

Name of Selection Panel Member

David Jungkuntz

Number of Firms Interviewed:

CRITERIA	Weighting	Osborn Architects		Zervas Architects		RMC Architects		Ankrom Moisan		Studio Meng Strazzara	
CRITERIA		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	85.0	17.0	80.0	16.0	81.0	16.2	75.0	15.0	80.0	16.0
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-	call services	along with	consultant's	capabilities	and organiza	ational struct	ture for prov	riding the des	sired service	es.	
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	90.0	18.0	81.0	16.2	85.0	17.0	75.0	15.0	78.0	15.6
ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, coacertained basic project requirements and how well have they may					information	provided an	d the Finalis	st's experienc	ce, how well	has the tea	m
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	81.0	8.1	80.0	8.0	80.0	8.0	78.0	7.8	78.0	7.8
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.	k	•	•	•						•	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	50%	85.0	42.5	80.0	40.0	80.0	40.0	80.0	40.0	80.0	40.0
Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Schedu	ling, Challen	ges and Op	portunities	•							
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored	Υ	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		es
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	341.0		321.0		326.0		308.0		316.0	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			85.6		80.2		81.2		77.8		79.4
FINAL RANK ORDER			1		3		2		5		4

COMMENTS:

0-12 A-4

David Jungkuntz (Jun 25, 2021 14:55 PDT) Committee Member's Signature

Jun 25, 2021

Date

21-828-ScoresheetSummary

Final Audit Report 2021-06-29

Created: 2021-06-25

By: Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAMW-0bPZt7koDscvwyFDxRmbRjPPvo1bP

"21-828-ScoresheetSummary" History

- Document created by Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov) 2021-06-25 2:39:43 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Document emailed to Kevin Barber (kevin.barber@des.wa.gov) for signature 2021-06-25 2:42:11 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Brian Keeley (bkeeley@whatcom.edu) for signature 2021-06-25 2:42:11 PM GMT
- Document emailed to David Jungkuntz (djungkuntz@btc.edu) for signature 2021-06-25 2:42:11 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Kevin Barber (kevin.barber@des.wa.gov) 2021-06-25 3:00:34 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.65.254
- Document e-signed by Kevin Barber (kevin.barber@des.wa.gov)

 Signature Date: 2021-06-25 3:06:28 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
- Email viewed by David Jungkuntz (djungkuntz@btc.edu)
 2021-06-25 9:48:53 PM GMT- IP address: 134.39.250.6
- Document e-signed by David Jungkuntz (djungkuntz@btc.edu)
 Signature Date: 2021-06-25 9:55:14 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 134.39.250.6
- Email viewed by Brian Keeley (bkeeley@whatcom.edu) 2021-06-27 0:24:14 AM GMT- IP address: 18.206.199.142
- Email viewed by Brian Keeley (bkeeley@whatcom.edu) 2021-06-29 12:56:19 PM GMT- IP address: 54.176.163.143
- Document e-signed by Brian Keeley (bkeeley@whatcom.edu)
 Signature Date: 2021-06-29 12:57:49 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 168.156.1.178



Agreement completed.
2021-06-29 - 12:57:49 PM GMT